

Annex 2. Results of systematic review of ecosystem service valuation studies in Europe (2000 – 2017) for benefit transfer at the national level.

Ecosystem unit: Urban green				
Study number	Author (s) and publication year	Year of valuation	Country	Number of obs
1	Fusaro et al., 2017	N/A	Italy	2
2	Paletto et al., 2017	2016	Italy	8
3	Manes et al., 2016	2007	Italy	2
4	Aevermann et al., 2015	2011	Germany	6
5	Hájek and Lípa, 2015	2013	Czech Republic	3
6	Soares et al., 2011	N/A	Portugal	5
7	Lupp et al., 2016	N/A	Germany	5
8	Langemeyer et al., 2015	2012	Spain	5
9	Hoelzinger et al., 2014	2011	UK	15
Ecosystem unit: Agricultural				
Study number	Author (s) and publication year	Year of valuation	Country	Number of obs
1	Fan et al. 2016	2015	Denmark	7
2	Lerouge et al. 2016	2013	Belgium	8
3	Bernués et al. 2015	2014	Norway	4
4	Posthumus et al. 2015	2009	UK	22
5	Beynon et al. 2015	2012	UK	4
6	Chatterton et al. 2015	2009	UK	10
7	Bernués et al. 2014	2013	Spain	4
8	Van Berkel and Verburg 2014	2011	Netherlands	2
9	Stanley et al. 2013	2010	Ireland	2
10	Lopes et al. 2015	2010	Portugal	9

11	Scolozzi et al. 2012*	N/A	Italy	7
12	Porter et al. 2009*	2006	Denmark	3
13	Breeze et al. 2011*	2007	UK	1
Ecosystem unit: Permanent crops				
Study number	Author (s) and publication year	Year of valuation	Country	Number of obs
1	Picanço et al. 2017	2011-2015	Portugal	4
2	Rodríguez-Entrena et al. 2012	2011	Spain	3
Ecosystem unit: Pasture and grasslands				
1	Notaro and Paletto 2011	2003	Italy	2
2	Rewitzer et al. 2017	2011	Switzerland	6
3	Paletto et al. 2015	2012	Austria	5
4	Frélichová et al. 2014*	2014	Czech Republic	6
Ecosystem unit: Forest				
Study number	Author (s) and publication year	Year of valuation	Country	Number of obs
1	Hein, 2011	2007	Netherlands	3
2	Manes et al, 2016	2003	Italy	2
3	Barth and Doll, 2016	2016	Germany	6
4	Caparros et al., 2017	2010	Spain	1
5	Goio et al., 2008	2003	Italy	6
6	Häyhä et al.,2015	2010	Italy	7
7	Meyerhoff et al.,2012	2009	Germany	1
8	Matero and Saastamoinen, 2007	2000	Finland	3
9	Ovando et al.2016	2010	Spain	15
10	Paletto et al., 2015	2012	Austria	6
11	Šišák et al.,2016	2010	Czech Republic	1

12	Grêt-Regamey et al.,2008	2005	Austria	1
13	Gotos, 2009	2000	Poland	4
14	Bernues et al., 2014	2013	Spain	8
15	Grilli et al.,2015	2015	Italy	5
16	Getzner et al.,2017	2016	Austria	2
17	Fusaro et al.,2017	2014	Italy	2
18	Schaubroeck et al.,2016	2016	Belgium	2
19	Brey et al.,2007	2006	Spain	4
20	Bastian et al.,2017	2017	Czech Republic	4
21	Kazak et al.,2016	2014	Poland	1
22	Grilli et al.2017	2012	Slovenia	4
23	Popa et al.,2016	2011	Romania	5
24	Olschewski et al.,2012	2011	Switzerland	1
25	Ezebilo,2016	2007	Sweden	1
26	Hlaváčková,2016	2013	Czech Republic	1
27	Termansen et al.,2013	2011	Denmark	2
28	Bastian et al.2015	2012	Germany	2
29	Pechanec et al.,2017	2015	Czech Republic	2
30	Caboun et al.,2014	2014	Slovakia	1
Ecosystem unit: Wetland				
Study number	Author (s) and publication year	Year of valuation	Country	Number of obs
1	Decleer et al. 2016	N/A	Belgium	3
2	Rouquette et al. 2011	2006	UK	2
3	Petrovič et al. 2014	N/A	Slovakia	3
4	Hein et al. 2006	N/A	Netherlands	4

5	Wüstemann et al. 2014	2009	Germany	1
6	Roebeling et al. 2016	2010	Portugal	1
7	Peh et al. 2014	2011	UK	3
8	Amigues et al. 2002*	2001	France	1
9	Bystrom 2000*	1992	Sweden	1
Ecosystem unit: Water				
Study number	Author (s) and publication year	Year of valuation	Country	Number of obs
1	Momblanch et al. 2017	N/A	Spain	1
2	Boithias et al. 2016	2013	Spain	18
3	Droste and Meya 2016	1998	Germany	2
4	Lopes et al. 2015	2010	Portugal	4
5	Aevermann and Schmude 2015	N/A	Germany	1
6	La Notte et al. 2017*	2005	34 European countries	34
7	Karabulut et al. 2015*	2013	Europe (Danube river)	1
8	Willemen et al. 2010*	2007	Netherlands	1

*From EKOSERV database

References

Aevermann T, Schmude J (2015) Quantification and monetary valuation of urban ecosystem services in Munich, Germany. Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsgeographie 59. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/zfw-2015-0304>

Amigues JP, Boulatoff C, Desaignes B, Gauthier C, Keith JE (2002) The benefits and costs of riparian analysis habitat preservation: a willingness to accept/willingness to pay contingent valuation approach. Ecological Economics 43 (1): 17–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(02\)00172-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(02)00172-6)

Barth N-C, Döll P (2016) Assessing the ecosystem service flood protection of a riparian forest by applying a cascade approach. *Ecosystem Services* 21:39–52.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2016.07.012>

Bastian O, Stein C, Lupp G, Behrens J, Renner C, Grunewald K (2015) The appreciation of nature and landscape by tourism service providers and visitors in the Ore Mountains (Germany). *Landscape Online*:1–23.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3097/LO.201541>

Bastian O, Syrbe R-U, Slavik J, Moravec J, Louda J, Kochan B, Kochan N, Stutzriemer S, Berens A (2017) Ecosystem services of characteristic biotope types in the Ore Mountains (Germany/Czech Republic). *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management* 13:51–71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21513732.2016.1248865>

Bernués A, Rodríguez-Ortega T, Alfnes F, Clemetsen M, Eik LO (2015) Quantifying the multifunctionality of fjord and mountain agriculture by means of sociocultural and economic valuation of ecosystem services. *Land Use Policy* 48: 170-178.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.05.022>

Bernués, A., Rodríguez-Ortega, T., Ripoll-Bosch, R., Alfnes, F. (2014) Socio-cultural and economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by Mediterranean mountain agroecosystems. *PLoS ONE* 9 (7): e102479. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102479>

Bertram C, Larondelle N (2017) Going to the Woods Is Going Home: Recreational Benefits of a Larger Urban Forest Site — A Travel Cost Analysis for Berlin, Germany. *Ecological Economics* 132:255–63.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.10.017>

Beynon, S.A., Wainwright, W.A., Christie, M. (2015) The application of an ecosystem services framework to estimate the economic value of dung beetles to the U.K. cattle industry. *Ecological Entomology* 40 (S1):124-135. <https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12240>

Boithias L, Terrado M, Corominas L, Ziv G, Kumar V, Marqués M, Schuhmacher M, Acuña V (2016) Analysis of the uncertainty in the monetary valuation of ecosystem services - A case study at the river basin scale. *Science of the Total Environment* 543: 683–690.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.066>

Breeze TD, Bailey AP, Balcombe KG, Potts SG (2011). Pollination services in the UK: How important are honeybees? *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 142: 137-143.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.03.020>

Brey R, Riera P, Mogas J. (2007) Estimation of forest values using choice modeling: An application to Spanish forests. *Ecological Economics* 64:305–12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.07.006>

Bystrom O (2000) The replacement value of wetlands in Sweden. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 16 (4): 347–362.

Caboun V, Kovalcik M, Sarvasova Z (2014) Concept of the integrative aspects of the forest ecosystem services with case study on recreation services assessment in Slovakia. *Ecological Processes* 3.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13717-014-0015-8>

Caparrós A, Oviedo JL, Álvarez A, Campos P (2017) Simulated exchange values and ecosystem accounting: Theory and application to free access recreation. *Ecological Economics* 139:140–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.04.011>

Chatterton J, Graves A, Audsley E, Morris J, Williams A (2015) Using systems-based life cycle assessment to investigate the environmental and economic impacts and benefits of the livestock sector in the UK. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 86: 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.05.103>

Declerck K, Wouters J, Jacobs S, Staes J, Spanhove T, Meire P, van Diggelen R (2016) Mapping wetland loss and restoration potential in Flanders (Belgium): An ecosystem service perspective. *Ecology and Society* 21 (4), article nr. 46. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-08964-210446>

Droste N, Meya JN (2017) Ecosystem services in infrastructure planning – a case study of the projected deepening of the Lower Weser river in Germany. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 60 (2): 231–248. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2016.1151405>

Ezebilo EE (2016) Economic value of a non-market ecosystem service: an application of the travel cost method to nature recreation in Sweden. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management*:1–14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21513732.2016.1202322>

Fan F, Henriksen CB, Porter J (2016) Valuation of ecosystem services in organic cereal crop production systems with different management practices in relation to organic matter input. *Ecosystem Services* 22: 117-127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2016.10.007>

Frélichová J, Vačkář D, Pártl A, Loučková B, Harmáčková ZV, Lorencová E (2014). Integrated Assessment of Ecosystem Services in the Czech Republic. *Ecosystem Services* 8: 110-117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2014.03.001>

Fusaro L, Marando F, Sebastiani A, Capotorti G, Blasi C, Copiz R, Congedo L, Munafò M, Ciancarella L, Manes F (2017) Mapping and Assessment of PM10 and O3 Removal by Woody Vegetation at Urban and Regional Level. *Remote Sensing* 9:791. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/rs9080791>

Getzner M, Gutheil-Knopp-Kirchwald G, Kreimer E, Kirchmeir H, Huber M (2017) Gravitational natural hazards: Valuing the protective function of Alpine forests. *Forest Policy and Economics* 80:150–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2017.03.015>

Goio I, Gios G, Pollini C (2008) The development of forest accounting in the province of Trento (Italy). *Journal of Forest Economics* 14:177–96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfe.2007.09.002>

Gołos P (2009) The value of the water-protecting function of forests. *Journal of Water and Land Development* 13a. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/v10025-010-0028-3>

Grêt-Regamey A, Bebi P, Bishop ID, Schmid WA (2008) Linking GIS-based models to value ecosystem services in an Alpine region. *Journal of Environmental Management* 89:197–208. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.05.019>

Grilli G, Ciolli M, Garegnani G, Geri F, Sacchelli S, Poljanec A, Vettorato D, Paletto A (2017) A method to assess the economic impacts of forest biomass use on ecosystem services in a National Park. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 98:252–63. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2017.01.033>

Grilli G, Nikodinoska N, Paletto A, De Meo I (2015) Stakeholders' preferences and economic value of forest ecosystem services: An example in the Italian Alps. *Baltic Forestry* 21(2): 298-307.

Hájek M, Lípa J (2015) Evaluation of ecosystem services from urban forests in the City of Prague / Ocenění ekosystémových služeb městských lesů Hlavního města Prahy. *Forestry Journal* 61. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/forj-2015-0014>

Hansen K, Malmaeus M (2016) Ecosystem services in Swedish forests. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 31:626–40. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2016.1164888>

Häyhä T, Franzese PP, Paletto A, Fath BD (2015) Assessing, valuing, and mapping ecosystem services in Alpine forests. *Ecosystem Services* 14:12–23. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2015.03.001>

Hein L, van Koppen K, de Groot RS, van Ierland EC (2006) Spatial scales, stakeholders and the valuation of ecosystem services. *Ecological Economics* 57 (2): 209–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2005.04.005>

Hein, L (2011) Economic benefits generated by protected areas: the case of the Hoge Veluwe forest, the Netherlands. *Ecology and Society* 16(2): 13 <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol16/iss2/art13/>

Hlaváčková P, Březina D, Meňházová J (2016) Selected results of the survey focused on the economic assessment of forest ecosystem services. *Journal of Forest Science* 61:282–90. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17221/12/2015-JFS>

Hoelzinger O, Horst D van der, Sadler J (2014) City-wide Ecosystem Assessments—Lessons from Birmingham. *Ecosystem Services* 9:98–105. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2014.05.003>

Karabulut A, Egoh BN, Lanzaova D, Grizzetti B, Bidoglio G, Pagliero L, Bouraoui F, Aloe A, Reynaud A, Maes J, Vandecasteele I, Mubareka S (2016) Mapping water provisioning services to support the ecosystem-water-food-energy nexus in the Danube river basin. *Ecosystem Services* 17: 278–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2015.08.002>

Kazak J, Malczyk J, Castro DG, Szewrański S (2016) Carbon Sequestration in Forest Valuation. *Real Estate Management and Valuation* 24. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/remav-2016-0007>

La Notte A, Maes J, Dalmazzone S, Crossman ND, Grizzetti B, Bidoglio G (2017) Physical and monetary ecosystem service accounts for Europe: A case study for in-stream nitrogen retention. *Ecosystem Services* 23: 18–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2016.11.002>

Langemeyer J, Baró F, Roebeling P, Gómez-Baggethun E (2015) Contrasting values of cultural ecosystem services in urban areas: The case of park Montjuïc in Barcelona. *Ecosystem Services* 12:178–86. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2014.11.016>

Lerouge F, Sannen K, Gulinck H, Vranken L (2016) Revisiting production and ecosystem services on the farm scale for evaluating land use alternatives. *Environmental Science and Policy* 57: 50-59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.11.015>

Lopes LFG, dos Santos Bento JMR, Arede Correia Cristovão AF, Baptista FO (2015) Exploring the effect of land use on ecosystem services: The distributive issues. *Land Use Policy* 45: 141-149.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2014.12.008>

Lupp G, Förster B, Kantelberg V, Markmann T, Naumann J, Honert C, Koch M, Pauleit S (2016) Assessing the Recreation Value of Urban Woodland Using the Ecosystem Service Approach in Two Forests in the Munich Metropolitan Region. *Sustainability* 8:1156.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su8111156>

Manes F, Marando F, Capotorti G, Blasi C, Salvatori E, Fusaro L, Ciancarella L, Mircea M, Marchetti M, Chirici G, Munafo M (2016) Regulating Ecosystem Services of forests in ten Italian Metropolitan Cities: Air quality improvement by PM 10 and O 3 removal. *Ecological Indicators* 67:425–40.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.03.009>

Matero J, Saastamoinen O (2007) In search of marginal environmental valuations — ecosystem services in Finnish forest accounting. *Ecological Economics* 61:101–14.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.02.006>

Meyerhoff J, Angeli D, Hartje V (2012) Valuing the benefits of implementing a national strategy on biological diversity—The case of Germany. *Environmental Science & Policy* 23:109–19.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2012.07.020>

Momblanch A, Paredes-Arquiola J, Andreu J (2017) Improved modelling of the freshwater provisioning ecosystem service in water scarce river basins. *Environmental Modelling and Software* 94: 87–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.03.033>

Notaro S, Paletto A (2011) Links between Mountain Communities and Environmental Services in the Italian Alps. *Sociologia Ruralis* 51(2): 137-157. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9523.2011.00532.x

Olschewski R, Bebi P, Teich M, Wissen Hayek U, Grêt-Regamey A (2012) Avalanche protection by forests — A choice experiment in the Swiss Alps. *Forest Policy and Economics* 17:19–24.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2012.02.016>

Ovando P, Campos P, Oviedo JL, Caparrós A (2016) Ecosystem accounting for measuring total income in private and public agroforestry farms. *Forest Policy and Economics* 71:43–51.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2016.06.031>

Paletto A, De Meo I, Grilli G, Nikodinoska N (2017) Selective and traditional forest management options for black pine forests in Central Italy: effects on ecosystem services. *Annals of Forest Research* 60. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15287/afr.2017.799>

Paletto A, Geitner C, Grilli G, Hastik R, Pastorella F, Rodríguez García L (2015) Mapping the value of ecosystem services: A case study from the Austrian Alps. *Annals of Forest Research* 58 (1): 157-175.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.15287/afr.2015.335>

Pechanec V, Machar I, Sterbova L, Prokopova M, Kilianova H, Chobot K, Cudlin P (2017) Monetary Valuation of Natural Forest Habitats in Protected Areas. *Forests* 8:427.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/f8110427>

Peh KS, Balmford A, Field RH, Lamb A, Birch JC, Bradbury RB, Brown C, Butchart SH, Lester M, Morrison R, Sedgwick I, Soans C, Stattersfield AJ, Stroh PA, Swetnam RD, Thomas DH, Walpole M, Warrington S, Hughes FM (2014) Benefits and costs of ecological restoration: Rapid assessment of changing ecosystem service values at a UK wetland. *Ecology and Evolution* 4 (20): 3875–3886.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1248>

Petrovič F, Bezák P, Mojses M, Hreško J (2014) Draft of management Ramsar sites Parížske močiare wetland in the context of ecosystem services (Case study Slovakia). *International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference Surveying Geology and Mining Ecology Management SGEM* 3 (5): 111–118.

Picanço A, Gil A, Rigal F, Borges PAV (2017) Pollination services mapping and economic valuation from insect communities: a case study in the Azores (Terceira Island). *Nature Conservation* 18: 1-25.

<https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.18.11523>

Popa B, Borz S A, Nita M D, Ioras F, Iordache E, Borlea F, Pache R, Abrudan IV (2016) Forest Ecosystem Services Valuation in Different Management Scenarios: a Case Study of the Maramures Mountains. *Baltic Forestry* 22(2): 327-340.

Porter J, Costanza R, Sandhu H, Sigsgaard L, Wratten S. (2009) The Value of Producing Food, Energy, and Ecosystem Services within an Agro-Ecosystem. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment* 38(4):186-193. <https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-38.4.186>

Posthumus H, Deeks LK, Rickson RJ, Quinton JN (2015) Costs and benefits of erosion control measures in the UK. *Soil Use and Management* 31: 16-33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12057>

Rewitzer S, Huber R, Grêt-Regamey A, Barkmann J (2017) Economic valuation of cultural ecosystem service changes to a landscape in the Swiss Alps. *Ecosystem Services* 26: 197-208. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecoser.2017.06.014

Rodríguez-Entrena M, Barreiro-Hurlé J, Gómez-Limón JA, Espinosa-Goded M, Castro-Rodríguez J (2012) Evaluating the demand for carbon sequestration in olive grove soils as a strategy toward mitigating climate change. *Journal of Environmental Management* 112: 368-376.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.08.004>

Roebeling P, Abrantes N, Ribeiro S, Almeida P (2016) Estimating cultural benefits from surface water status improvements in freshwater wetland ecosystems. *Science of the Total Environment* 545–546: 219–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.12.063>

Rouquette JR, Posthumus H, Morris J, Hess TM, Dawson QL, Gowing DJG (2011) Synergies and trade-offs in the management of lowland rural floodplains: an ecosystem services approach. *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 56 (8): 1566–1581. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2011.629785>

Schaubroeck T, Deckmyn G, Giot O, Campioli M, Vanpoucke C, Verheyen K, Rugani B, Achten W, Verbeeck H, Dewulf J, Muys B (2016) Environmental impact assessment and monetary ecosystem service valuation of an ecosystem under different future environmental change and management scenarios; a case study of a Scots pine forest. *Journal of Environmental Management* 173:79–94.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.03.005>

Scolozzi R, Morri E, Santolini R (2012) Delphi-based change assessment in ecosystem service values to support strategic spatial planning in Italian landscapes. *Ecological Indicators* 21: 134-144.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.07.019>.

Šišák L, Riedl M, Dudík R (2016) Non-market non-timber forest products in the Czech Republic—Their socio-economic effects and trends in forest land use. *Land Use Policy* 50: 390–8.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.10.006>

Soares AL, Rego FC, McPherson EG, Simpson JR, Peper PJ, Xiao Q (2011) Benefits and costs of street trees in Lisbon, Portugal. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* 10: 69–78.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2010.12.001>

Stanley DA, Gunning D, Stout JC (2013) Pollinators and pollination of oilseed rape crops (*Brassica napus* L.) in Ireland: Ecological and economic incentives for pollinator conservation. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 17 (6): 1181-1189. doi: 10.1007/s10841-013-9599-z

Termansen M, McClean CJ, Jensen FS (2013) Modelling and mapping spatial heterogeneity in forest recreation services. *Ecological Economics* 92: 48–57.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2013.05.001>

Van Berkel DB, Verburg PH (2014) Spatial quantification and valuation of cultural ecosystem services in an agricultural landscape. *Ecological Indicators* 37: 163-174.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.06.025>

Willemsen L, Hein L, Verburg PH (2010) Evaluating the impact of regional development policies on future landscape services. *Ecological Economics* 69 (11): 2244–2254.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.06.012>

Wüstemann H, Meyerhoff J, Rühs M, Schäfer A, Hartje V (2014) Financial costs and benefits of a program of measures to implement a National Strategy on Biological Diversity in Germany. *Land Use Policy* 36: 307–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2013.08.009>