## LULC types and potential contribution to ES provision

Table S. 1: Key between LUISA ([Baranzelli *et al.*, 2014](#_ENREF_4)) and CORINE LULC types, and scores for the potential contribution of each LULC type to provide relevant ES. Scores are based on the ES potential matrix by [Burkhard *et al.* (2014](#_ENREF_10)), after appropriate rescaling. See main text for additional details.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Class code** | **LULC type (LUISA)** | **CORINE equivalent** | **Rule for scoring** | **Air quality regulation** | **Soil erosion control** | **Water flow regulation** |
| 1 | Urban | YES | Average from CORINE | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| 2 | Industry | YES | Average from CORINE | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| 3 | Arable (others) | YES | Average from CORINE | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 4 | Permanent Crops | YES | Average from CORINE | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 5 | Pastures | YES | Average from CORINE | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 6 | Forests | YES | Average from CORINE | 1 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 7 | Transitional woodland-shrub | YES | Average from CORINE | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 8 | Cereals | NO | Same as class 3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 9 | Maize | NO | Same as class 3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 10 | Root crops | NO | Same as class 3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 11 | Abandoned Arable Land | NO | Same as class 3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 12 | Abandoned Permanent Crops | NO | Same as class 4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 13 | Abandoned pastures | NO | Same as class 5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 14 | Abandoned Urban | NO | Same as class 1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| 15 | Abandoned Industry | NO | Same as class 2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| 16 | New Energy Crops | NO | Same as class 3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 17 | Natural land | YES | Average from CORINE | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 18 | Infrastructure | YES | Average from CORINE | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| 19 | Other Nature | YES | Average from CORINE | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 20 | Wetlands | YES | Average from CORINE | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 21 | Water Bodies | YES | Average from CORINE | 0 | 0 | 0.8 |
| 22 | Urban green leisure | YES | Average from CORINE | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
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